Previous references.
Same file Sl, 1 & 2
13. July, 7

Later references.
pol 166-12 1-2
" " 234-75 (1-)

1908.
(Police)

File No. 2 of 07
Serial Nos. 3-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3 - 10

Part B. for April '08

SUBJECT.

Return of Crimes relating to Coinage.

Table of Contents

3 From the I. G. of Police No 5408/521 Df 21.2.08
Forwards a return of Crimes, relating to Coinage for the Year 1907.

4. To the Same No 2864 Df 28.2.08
Calls for explanation regarding the discrepancy against Sl No 8 in the list.

5. From the Same No 5673/5248 Df 3.3.08
States that the fact that the two coins were melted
G. R. 9.08 the 3rd dealt with otherwise as stated in F. I. R. is correct.

6. To the F. A. Resident No Pol 730 Df 16 3.08
Forwards the statement ..

Returns, the statement pointing out certain discrepancies.

8 To the I. G. of Police No J 2922 D/24.3.08
Enquires, why the Tumkur case was omitted and calls for the explanations of the discrepancy pointed out by the F. A. Resident.

. From
the Same No 6243/60 of Dt 30.3.08
States that the Tumkur case was not included as it was only a case of passing counterfeit coin by applying a coating of quicksilver to a copper coin and forwards a copy of a letter from the Supdt. of Police Mysore Dt. requesting that the figure 4 may be corrected to 9.

10 To the F. A. Resident No Pol 865 D/27.4.08
Furnishes explanations for the points raised in para no 7.

G.R.S. 11] 1908.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 2 of 07

FILE HEADING.

Return of crimes relating to coinage

From

The I.G. of Police in Mysore

No. 5408/521 Dated 21.2.08 Received 22.2.08

Diary No. J2170	Enclos. 2	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards a return of crimes relating to coinage for the year 1907.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The return was prescri
in this office letter No. J151-Pol 2-07-2
dated the 13th July 1907. It was due
this office on the 15th February but
was received only on the 22nd idem

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB -30,000-20-3-06.

Statement of cases of Counterfeiting coin, fraudulently tampering with coin, or passing or being in possession of Counterfeit co

during the Calendar year ending 31st December 1907.

I			II					III			IV			V
			Counterfeiting coin or possessing instruments or materials for counterfeiting coin &c. (Sections 231-235 Indian Penal Code)					Causing coin to be of a different weight or composition from that fixed by law (Sections 246 & 247 Indian Penal Code)			Passing or being in possession of counterfeit coin &c. (Sections 239-243 (Indian Penal Code)			
Serial Number	Province.	District.	o. of cases investigated	o. of persons Convicted	o. and description of Coins seized.	o. of cases indicating the use of moulds	o. of cases indicating the use of dies.	o of cases investigated	o. of persons Convicted	No. and description of Coins seized.	o. of cases investigated	No. of persons Convicted	No. and description of Coins seized.	Remarks.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 2 of 1907-08. 2 ✓

Serial No.

The statement should reach the Residency before the 1st March

✓ Sl. nos. 5 and 9 in the statement now subd. extend over two calendar years beginning in one and ending in the other. There are some more cases of this sort which have not been included in the statement. Either all such cases should be included or all of them should be omitted. Instructions are solicited on this point.

3 Against sl. no. 8 it is stated in the last sub column of column IV that one coin was placed on [illegible] fire and melted into lead while the other two coins were tested by other processes. But a reference to the F.I.R. relating the case shows that two coins were placed on fire and melted into lead while one was tested by other process.

4 A case that occurred in the Tenkasi Town has been omitte

Serial
No.

probably because the accused in the case was convicted under section 254 I.P.C. and not under any of the sections mentioned in the statement. A pencil note of the case is, however, made in the statement and orders are solicited as to the insertion or otherwise of the case.

5 Some discrepancies as regards the sections of the I.P.C. are also noted in pencil in the statement.

Subd. for orders.

B.G.
26.2.08

SN
26.2.08

6 cases in which the 1) (investigation was (commenced in one ~~year~~ calendar year and was (continued and finished in the next ~~cl~~ calendar year, have, it seems to me, to be included in the return of the latter year. The attention of the Ins. Gl may be invited to the other cases of the kind referred to in serial nos 5 and 9, and he may be asked to state why they are not included -

7 2) The discrepancy may

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 2 of 1907 08

4

Serial No.

be pointed out to the Ins: Gl and an explanation asked for -

§ 3). The omission of the case relating to the offence punishable under sec 254 I-P.C. was right -

G Please put up a draft to the I.G.P. The return may be sent for reference if necessar

27.2.08. Tew

Subd. with a draft to the I.G. of Police.

B.G. 27.2.08

W Issue

28.2.08 Tew

4 To The I.G. of Police no. T2604 D/ 28.2.08

Calls for explanation regarding the discrepancy against sl no. 8 pointed above.

5 From The I.G. of Police no. $\frac{5673}{548}$ D/ 3.3.8.

States that the fact that the two coins were melted and the third dealt with otherwise as stated in the F.I.R. is correct. " The return was called for by the Residency in their letter No. 4579 D/ 1/7/07

Subd. with a draft to the Residency. If it is decided that the Summ subd. by the I.G. should also be sent to the F.A.R. the necessary alterati may be made in the draft.

B.G. 5.3.08

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

Police File No. 2 of 1907-08.

Serial No.	
	12 The summary may be included in the statement in the column of remarks. Issue 5.3.08.
6	To The F. A. Resident no. Pol 730 Dt 6.3.08 forwards the statement
7	from The F. A. Resident no. 1662 Dt 17.3.08 Returns the statement pointing out certain discrepancies. 13 The Residency wishes to know why the Tumkur case has been omitted & brings to notice a discrepancy in the no. of coins in sl. no. 3. ~~The necessity for the [illegible] of the~~ Tumkur ~~case~~ B.4. has already been discussed. (vide ~~office~~ paras 3 & 4 of the notes.) Subd. with a draft to the I. G. B.G. 21.3.08. 21/3/08 14 Issue 23.3.08
8	To The I. G. of Police no. J2922 Dt 24.3.08 Enquires why the Tumkur case was omitted and calls for the explanation of the discrepancy pointed out by the Residency.
9	from The I. G. of Police no. $\frac{6243}{606}$ Dt 30.3.08 States that the Tumkur case was

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 2 of 1907-08

Serial No.

not included as it was only a case of passing counterfeit coin by applying a coating of quick silver to a copper coin and forwards a copy of letter no. 622 D/ 28.3.08 from the Supdt. of Police, Mysore Dt. requesting that the figure 4 may be corrected to 9.

15 The reason given by the I.G. for excluding the Tumkur case does not appear to be sound inasmuch as cases of fraudulently tampering with coins should be included in the ~~Report~~ Statement. Subd. for orders with a draft to the Residency.
B.G.
3.4.08.

SN
6/4/08

16 Draft submitted for approval.

Secy) 7.4.08

What are the sections 13/4 offences under which are to be included in the Stt. Where is the Resy. letter specifying these sections.

13/4

18 The sections are given in the headings of the columns of the statement. Letter Nos 582-61 from

7

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 2 of 1907-08

Serial No.	
	the officiating Secretary to the Govt. of India and the form ac-panying it contained in File no of 1907 may be kindly referred to Secy) 14.4.08
	Thanks. Issue draft
	24-4
10	To The F.A. Resident no. Pol 865-07 27.4. Furnishes explanation for the points raised in sl. no. 7

[illegible] vol 2

2170

190 -0 .

File no. 2
SC no. 3

FROM

The Inspector-General of
Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. & Rec. Depts.

Dated 21st

Despatched 21st February 1908

Received

General No. 5408
No. 521

Enclosure No. 2

Forwards an Annual Report of Cases of Counterfeiting Coins for the Calendar year ending 31st Dec 1907

No. 540 & Gen 2
521

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,
Camp Marikanve,
Dated ~~*Bangalore,*~~ 21st February *190*8

FROM

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,
Offg. *Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,*
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Reference :-

Your letter No. J 151/Pol 2-07-2 dated 71 U the 13th July 1907 asking for the submission of an annual Return of cases of counterfeiting coins &c.,

----

I have the honour to forward herewith a Return for the calendar year ending 31st December 1907 together with a summary of one important case.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

F Carr
Inspector-General of Police.

Summary of one important counterfeit coin case for the calendar year 1907.

In Harihar the Inspector succeeded in laying his hands upon two coiners, both Sivachars, residing at Harihar. Two four anna and three two anna pieces of counterfeit Queen's coins of 1887 and 1885 respectively were traced to one Shadaksharaiya. The house of his accomplice Chanaappa was searched with the result that two moulds, one for two-anna and the other for four-anna pieces, eleven four - anna counterfeit coins of 1887 and twelve two - anna counterfeit coins of 1885, a stove, a pair of tongs and a scale-pan were found.

Process of manufacture, use of moulds or dies &c.

The modus operandi appears to be :- A quantity of quartz stone and iron slag is well powdered and mixed with honey and gum, and formed into a fine paste, This mould of paste is then divided into two parts and an oiled rupee or other coin placed between the two lumps which are then worked on to the coin so as to completely encase it. The casing is then cut along its edge down to the rim of the rupee - the whole surrounded with cow-dung and baked till dry. It will then be found that the two halves can be separated along the cut. Before doing so a mark is made so that the two parts can be again properly put together. On separation the genuine rupee is taken out leaving a more or less perfect impression depending on the fineness of the paste and the amount of skill used. A small channel is then cut, to allow the molten metal to run into the mould and the two pieces joined and fixed together. The metal is then melted, poured in by the channel and after it has cooled the mould is opened. The resultant rupee then requires a little finishing. The strip of metal adhering to it is cut off, the surfaces are cleaned and the milling is touched up with a small knife. The coins ring after a fashion, but colour, finish and weight combined immediately disclose their spurious nature.

Both the accused were convicted in the Court of Sessions and sentenced to 7 years' rigorous imprisonment each, under Section 235 and 243, Indian Penal Code.

Inspector General of Police.

749- 8 8.8.07	Mysore	Chitaldrug Ganganarasi Harihar Sub Th	-	-	-	-	Sections 240 & 241 I.P.C.
742 9 0.9.06		Harihar Town	1 Sections 235 & 243 I.P.C.	2	13 four-anna counterfeit pieces of 1897, 18 two-anna counterfeit pieces of 1885. All were Queen's Coins. Two pairs of moulds - one pair for two-anna and the other for four-anna pieces of Queen's Coin; a pointed iron nail, an iron stove tongs & a scalepan.	-	-
747 10		Kolar Malamani	-	-	-	-	1 Sections 239 & 240 I.P.C.
7481 11		Pulgal Bagepalli Tk	-	-	-	-	1 Sections 239 & 240 I.P.C.

✓
Rupees Three of which 2 ~~one~~ placed in fire melted into lead and the remaining ~~one was~~ were tested by other process and determined to be counterfeit ~~coin~~

✓ The accused Thalwar Maria of Gangenarsi in the Harihar Sub Taluk, was convicted and sentenced to 5 months' rigorous imprisonment under Sections 240 and 241 I.P.C by the Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate, Davangere Division. 3

✓ The accused Shadaksharaiya and Chendappa, both Swachars of Harihar, were convicted and sentenced to 7 years' rigorous imprisonment each under Sections 235 and 243 I.P.C by the Sessions Judge, Shimoga Division.

[Vide the summary of the case as contained in enclosure to G.O. 3, Para 2.]

The modus operandi seems to have been:-

✓
One rupee Queens coin of 1900, made of tin and lead.

The 1st Class Magistrate, Kolar Division, finding no guilty knowledge on the part of the accused Ahmad Beg of Chintamani, discharged him.

✓
One rupee of Queens coin of 1888 made of tin.

✓ A 'B' Sheet was submitted to the 1st class Magistrate of Chickballapur as the accused Gangiah, a cultivator in the Bagepalli Taluk was an innocent possessor of the coin. The Magistrate accepting the view taken by the Police ordered the case to be struck off.

1 HP 2.5.07	Mysore	Bangalore City									✓ 1 Sec: 241 IPC	
2 HW 24.7.07		Mysore Bheriya, Yedatore Tk									1 ✓ Sec: 239 IPC	
3 HS 4.2.07		Mysore City Devaraj Mohalla									1 ✓ Sec. 240 IPC	1
HZ 4 17.9.07		Shimoga Town									✓ 1 Sec. 240 IPC 241	✓ 1
2 HQ 5 9.12.06		" Raghosahalli, Kumsi Sub Tk									1 ✓ Sections 240+243 IPC.	1
6 HT 22.4.07		Chitaldrug Davangere									1 ✓ Sec. 241 IPC	
HR 7 6.5.07		" Kolkal, Chitaldrug Tk									1 ✓ Sections 239+243 IPC.	2

Two rupee Queen's coins of 1862 + 1901 and one rupee King's coin of 1905. All the 3 coins were made of tin and lead.

The District Magistrate of Kolar, sentenced the accused Bestar Venkatappa of Devanhalli Taluk to 18 months' rigorous imprisonment under Section 241 I.P.C.

✓ 1 Counterfeit rupee coin

✓ The Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate, Hassan, discharged the accused Manjaiya of Yedahally on the ground that evidence was wanting to prove guilty knowledge.

✓ A 'B' Sheet was submitted to the 1st class Magistrate, Kolar Gold Fields, who ordered the case to be struck off as false.

Camp Marikanve
19th February 1908.

Inspector General of Police.

G.R.S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 190 .

Date of issue 28-2-08

Signed by M. K. [illegible] Rao

Confidential 5 Police

Urgent

File No. 2-07
Serial No. 4

No. J-2604

To
The I. G. of Police in Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 5408/621 dated the 21st February 1908, forwarding a statement of crimes relating to counterfeit coins for the calendar year 1907, I am directed to point out that in the last sub-column of column IV of the return it is stated against sl no. 8 that one coin was placed on fire and melted and that the remaining two were tested by other processes while a reference

over.

SUBJECT.

to the T. T. R in the case received with your letter no $\frac{1496}{163}$ d/31st August 1907 shows that two coins were melted and the third dealt with otherwise. I am to request that this discrepancy may be explained at a very early date.

I have &c

For Secretary.

S.
8-2-08

28/1/08

List of enclosures

Copied by 28/2.

Examined by

[illegible] 4/3 Police

J 2279

190 -0 . .

file no. 2
Sl no. 5

FROM

The Inspector-General of
Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 3ᵈ

Despatched 3ᵈ March 1908

Received

General No. 5673
No. 548

Enclosure No. 1

Explains the discrepancy pointed out as regards the disposal Counterfeit Coins referred to.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

No. 5673-21/548

Dated Bangalore, 3rd March 1908.

FROM

F. E. C. CARR, Esq.,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To
C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,
~~K. S. CHANDRASEKHARA AIYAR, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
Offg. *Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,*
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR

With reference to your letter No. J 2604/ Police 2-07-4 dated the 28th ultimo, requesting to explain certain discrepancy in the statement of crimes relating to counterfeit coins for the calendar year 1907, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No. 722 dated the 2nd instant, received from the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Chitaldrug District, stating that the fact that the two coins were melted and the third dealt with otherwise as stated in the First Information Report is correct.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

NO: 722.   Dated 2nd March 1908.

From

B. Ramaswamiah Esq., B.A.,
Assistant Superintendent of Police
Chitaldrug District.

To

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 5626/299 dated the 29 ultimo, I have the honour to state that the facts viz that two coins were melted and the third dealt with otherwise as stated in the First Information Report submitted with this office No. 82 dated 31st August 1907 are correct, but through oversight it was shown in the statement sent with this office No. 617 dated the 28th January 1908 that only one coin was placed on fire and the other two were dealt with by other processes.

I have &c.,
(Sd) B. Ramaswamiah.
Asst. Superintendent of Police,
Chitaldrug District.

" COPY "

FCarr
Inspector General of Police.

Confidential

9

G.R.S. 26]

Police
File No. 2
Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to —

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 190 .

Date of issue 6-3-08

Signed by Jd.

Mr: C.S. Balasundarayyar

Pol: No. 730
Enc: 1.

To
The F. A. Resident

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 4529 dated the 1st July 1907, I am directed to forward herewith a ~~state of~~ statement of counterfeit coin cases for the calendar year 1907.

I have &c
For Secretary

over.

B.S.
5-3-08.

SUBJECT.

5/3/08

6/3/08 compr

III 9/18/3
[illegible] 18/3

~~J 2404~~ 10 Pol: 132
D 18-3-08

No. 1662 Genl/

File no 2
Sl. no. 7

FROM

F. P. RENNIE, Esq., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore,

Dated Bangalore, 17th March 1908.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Balasundaram Iyer's letter Pol: No.730, dated the 6th March 1908, forwarding a statement of counterfeit coin cases which occurred in the Mysore State during the Calendar year 1907.

Durbar's letter Pol: No. 1941 dated 26th April 1907
do. No. 1012. dated 16th May 1907

2. In the case indicated serial No.3 in the statement the number of coins seized is entered as 4 whereas it would appear from Mr. Balasundaram Iyer's letter Pol: No.740x, dated the 25th February 1907, that 7 coins in all were seized by the Police in this case. It is observed also that the case of delivery of counterfeit coin which was reportedx to have occurred in Tumkur on the 10th April 1907 has not been included in the statement now furnished.

*15
*10

3. I am therefore to return the statement with the request that such alterations as may be necessary may be made therein and that it may be retransmitted to this office at an early date.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
F P Rennie
First Assistant Resident.

S.I.

4. Police

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 2

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to nil

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190 .

Date of issue 24-3-08

Signed by Mr K. Mylar Rao

710.

No. J.2922 / Police 2-07-8
d 24-3-08

To
The I. G. of Police in Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter no. J2606 dated the 28th ~~July~~ February 1908, I am directed to enquire why the Counterfeit coin case at Tumkur which was reported in your letter no. 7340/781 dated the 17th April 1907, ~~was omitted~~ has not been ~~shown~~ included in the Statement forwarded to this office with your letter no. 5408/521 dated the 21st February 1908.

2. I am further to point out that ~~in the last statement the~~ the no. of coins shown against

ver.

SUBJECT.

in the last column of the Statement
sl. no. 3 is 4 while ~~that it is~~
~~stated in the F. I. R in the~~
~~case~~ is 7 according to the
-First Information
F. I. Report and 9 according to
the judgment in the case, 718
and to request that this
discrepancy may be
explained at a very
early date..

I have &c

For Secretary

B.S.
1.3.08.
21/3/08

List of enclosures

Copied by
Examined by
23/3/08

Misc
VII 4/3113

J 2537

File no. 2
190 -0 . Sl. no. 9

FROM

The Inspector-General of
Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 30th .

Despatched 30th March 1908

Received

General No. 6243
No. 606

Enclosure No. One.

Re the discrepancy in the statemen of crimes relating to counterfeit coi for the Calendar year 1907.

GPB—1,000—3-1-07

No. 6243 Genl/
606

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 30 March 1908.

FROM D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,
~~F. E. C. CARR, Esq.,~~
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To
C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,
~~K. S. CHANDRASEKHARA AIYAR, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
Offg. *Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,*
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR

With reference to your letter No. J 2922/Pol 2-07- dated the 24th March 1908 regarding certain discrepancies in the statement of crimes relating to counterfeit coins for the calendar year 1907, I have the honour to state that the counterfeit coin case at Tumkur reported in my letter No. 7340/781 dated the 17th April 1907 was not included in the above statement, as it was only a case of passing counterfeit coin by applying a coating of quick-silver to a copper coin (vide Secretary's No. J 151/Police 2-07-2 dated 13-7-1907).

2. As regards para 2 of the letter under reference, I beg to forward herewith copy of letter No. 622 dated the 28th March 1908 received from the Superintendent of Police, Mysore District.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Inspector General of Police

No. 622 Dated 28th March 1908.

From

Md. Zahiruddin Meccai Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent of Police, Mysore District.

To

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 6115/501 dated 26th instant forwarding extract from letter No. 2922/Pol 2-07-5 dated the 24th instant from the Secretary to Government, for explanation of discrepancy in re the number of counterfeit coins entered in the statement, F.I.R., and Judgment in the City counterfeit coin case of 1906-07, I have the honour to report that the correct number of counterfeit coins found in the case is 9 as mentioned in the Judgement and not 4 or 7 as noted in the statement submitted on 3rd February 1908 and F.I.R. No. 390 of the case. The incorrect figures noted in the latter two are due to the negligence on the part of officials whose conduct will be duly noticed.

I request the figure in the Return may be kindly corrected into 9.

I have &c.,
(Sd) Md. Zahiruddin Meccai.
Superintendent of Police,
Mysore District.

" C O P Y "

Per Inspector General of Police.

H

15

Police
File No. 2
Serial No. 10

G.R.S. 26],

Diary No. of letter replied to ______

Pol: No. 865
Gen:/Statement

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190 .

Date of issue 27-4-1908

Signed by Mr: P. G. D'Souza

To

~~[illegible]~~
The F. A. Resident.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter no 1662 dated the 17th March 1908 returning with certain observations the statement of counterfeit coin cases which occurred in the Mysore State during the Calendar year 1907.

2. In reply I am to state that ~~the~~ ~~Tumkur case~~ it was thought ~~fit to exclude~~ unnecessary to include

over.

SUBJECT.

Copied
wd,
[illegible]

Exd
K.N.
27/4

66

the Tumkur case ~~from~~ in 76 O the Statement as the conviction in that case was under section 258 I.P.C. and not under any of the sections specified in the statement prescribed. As regards the discrepancy in the no. of coins entered against the case indicated by sl. no. 3, I am to state that the figures entered both in the Statement and the First Information Report are wrong, the no. of coins seized in the case being 9. 76 S

3 The Statement received with the letter under reply is herewith returned ~~with the~~ the necessary correction being made in it.

I have etc.
[illegible]
~~for~~ Secretary

3.9.
3.4.08.
SW 6/4/08

List of enclosures.
one
SW 21/4/08

Copied by
Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05